

Project PI: _____ Project ID # _____

Project Title: _____

Date sent for Review _____ Date to be returned _____

GlycoMIP Proposal Review Form

I certify that I have **NO** Conflict of Interest with this proposal (*click to set*): Yes No

If No, return to [MIP NAME] immediately

Conflict of Interest situations, per NSF guidelines. 1) Present or past Ph.D. Student or Advisor, 2) collaboration within the last 48 months, 3) co-editor within the last 24 months, 4) Any other circumstance where impartiality could be questioned. Reviewers are expected to self-disclose conflict of interest situations, and furthermore are expected to not disclose and benefit from non-public information.

GlycoMIP Focus

The primary goal of the GlycoMIP research program and user facility is the advancement of glycomaterial science technology, and to be a hub for collaboration and sharing of glycomaterial samples, tools, code, data and know-how.

Scoring

- 5 Proposal is of high quality and must be pursued
- 4 Proposal is of good quality and access should be granted
- 3 Proposal is acceptable, and access should be granted at [MIP NAME]'s discretion
- 2 Proposal has minimal merit and access should be low priority; marginal scope; marginal equipment match
- 1 Proposal has little merit and access should not be granted; out of scope; not suitable for available resource

This form will be returned to proposer without modification.

Intellectual Merit (IM) (potential to advance knowledge within the field or across different fields)

Does the proposal contain creative, original, or potentially transformative work, such as the development of new tools or methods?

Broader Impacts (BI) (potential to benefit society or advance desired societal outcomes)

Does the proposed work align with [MIP NAME] and NSF program priorities for broader impacts including diversity goals?

Reviewer Score IM

5 (*highest*) 4 3 2 1

Reviewer Score BI

5 (*highest*) 4 3 2 1

Comments on IM and BI (required):

Synergies between contributions to/from [MIP NAME] and [Name of Home Institute] User

1. Is the user project scope aligned with the [MIP NAME] focus? YES No
2. Are [MIP NAME] capabilities critical to the user project success? YES No
3. Are the capabilities and participation by the user sufficient for project success? YES No

Comments on Synergies (required):

Save with original file name and return by email to NAME at EMAIL ADDRESS